

Surge Capacity Concepts for Health Care Facilities: The CO-S-TR Model for Initial Incident Assessment

John L. Hick, MD, Kristi L. Koenig, MD, Donna Barbisch, DHA, MPH,
and Tareg A. Bey, MD

ABSTRACT

Facility-based health care personnel often lack emergency management training and experience, making it a challenge to efficiently assess evolving incidents and rapidly mobilize appropriate resources. We propose the CO-S-TR model, a simple conceptual tool for hospital incident command personnel to prioritize initial incident actions to adequately address key components of surge capacity. There are 3 major categories in the tool, each with 4 subelements. “CO” stands for command, control, communications, and coordination and ensures that an incident management structure is implemented. “S” considers the logistical requirements for staff, stuff, space, and special (event-specific) considerations. “TR” comprises tracking, triage, treatment, and transportation: basic patient care and patient movement functions. This comprehensive yet simple approach is designed to be implemented in the immediate aftermath of an incident, and complements the incident command system by aiding effective incident assessment and surge capacity responses at the health care facility level. (*Disaster Med Public Health Preparedness*. 2008;2(Suppl 1):S51–S57)

Key Words: surge capacity, emergency preparedness, hospital preparedness, emergency management, incident management, hospital incident command system

Surge capacity describes the ability of a health care system to respond to a sudden increase in patient care demands. Conceptually, a surge system has the following components: supplies, personnel, physical space, and management infrastructure, sometimes referenced as “stuff, staff, and structure.”^{1,2} When health care demands increase dramatically above the usual capability and capacity of the facility, a rapid needs assessment must be performed and appropriate resources mobilized to meet these requirements.

Model systems to improve health care facility emergency management programs³ and incident management implementation^{4,5}—including the Hospital Incident Command System, which is compliant with the required Federal National Incident Management System—have greatly improved health care facility preparedness.

These systems provide insufficiently detailed guidance and tools for health care personnel assigned to an incident command or section chief position who are required to perform initial assessments and initiate response activities. These employees, particularly medical staff, may assume unfamiliar roles and may have little practical experience assessing such situations. They may thus face significant challenges in their efforts to prioritize initial response activi-

ties. We propose a practical and easily understood tool for health care facility personnel to apply after initial assignment of incident command positions that may help to anticipate hospital surge capacity and other needs during the initial response to a disaster.

The CO-S-TR tool (Fig. 1) is a conceptual framework that will provide facility incident command staff with the framework to make informed and consistent decisions during chaotic circumstances. It is intended as an adjunct tool to the Hospital Incident Command System and similar systems and may be incorporated in job action sheets or stand alone as a reference card or poster.

Implementation of the essential elements of the CO-S-TR model should include a brief, facility-specific mobilization checklist (Table 1) to enable rapid identification and prioritization of resource needs, recognition of key objectives, and earlier incident control. This checklist also may include contact and notification information, available resources, triggers for different levels of activation (partial vs full or other graded system), and mechanisms and metrics that can assist in determining which staff and support elements to activate based upon incident demands.

FIGURE 1

| The CO-S-TR framework. | | |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| C4 | S4 | T4 |
| Command | Staff | Tracking |
| Control | Stuff | Triage |
| Communication | Space | Treatment |
| Coordination | Special | Transportation |

C4—COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATION, AND COORDINATION

Command

Command refers to the designation of an incident commander (IC) and implementation of an incident management system with defined roles and responsibilities according to the facility emergency management plan. Ideally, the facility’s chief executive officer authorizes a preincident policy that designates authority to this individual or group of individuals immediately at the time of an incident.^{5,6}

Control

Initial command goals are to protect the staff and facility and prevent event expansion (although this may not be under the control of the health care facility IC). Control of an event requires continuous information gathering to assess the impact of the event on health care facility operations and may also involve initial scope-limiting actions such as controlling access to certain areas to protect the facility and employees. Based on this information, adequate internal and external resources must be mobilized to meet event-generated demands. The response must be flexible, scalable, and adjusted to the dynamic situation. A balance must be struck between requesting adequate resources and unnecessarily disrupting hospital operations and staffing patterns. Control relies on incident management principles such as incident action planning and action planning cycles^{6,7} to effectively manage the event. Employees should automatically know what actions to take in the initial, reactive stage of a surge event and refer to their department-specific job action sheets or plan when notified of an event.

Communication

Communication with internal and external partners is critical to successful event management. Troublesome communications are virtually emblematic of a disaster. Information flow may be rendered useless by failure of usual communication mechanisms (eg, telephone, radio). The facility should have an emergency communications plan that can be implemented quickly with redundant, interconnected, and power grid independent methods of communication.⁸

Prior agreements that detail shared staff compensation, liability, and workers’ compensation are invaluable in facilitating staff sharing

Staff notification of an event may be accomplished in many ways, including overhead paging and intranet for employees at work and paging, messaging, and hotlines for those away from work. Optimizing these communication links often relies on prior real-life experience, education and training, and exercises. External partners also may need to be notified. Employees and outside agencies must know what assistance is needed (and not needed) so that they can take appropriate action. Knowing who to contact for what resources, and how to reach them, is critical information that the IC must have easily available.

Sharing information and intelligence between agencies and employees is essential to optimizing response. Health care providers and managers also may need law enforcement or security information during an event that may be difficult to access unless there are trusted partners in public safety and preexisting commitments to share such information.

Finally, plans to manage the media and prior designation of qualified medical spokespeople are essential elements of public risk communication⁹ and can potentially greatly reduce the burden of telephone calls (eg, by redirecting to a family reunification hotline) or patient visits (eg, by communicating case definitions and information on when to seek emergency care). Monitoring the media during an event for messages that are inconsistent with the response plan also is important (eg, “Please go to your local hospital to give blood”) to allow early correction.

Coordination

Institutional Response: Connecting the Dots

Key clinical and support staff must be present in the health care facility command center to coordinate the planned actions with the staffing capabilities to facilitate timeliness in meeting resource needs, prioritizing actions, and identifying future goals. Initial departmental responses should proceed on the basis of their emergency operations plans, with updates provided to command staff frequently on the situation in key areas (emergency department, security, surgery, critical care). As the incident evolves, more top-down management strategies will be implemented.

Community Public Safety Agencies

Coordination with outside agencies when specific assets (eg, decontamination assistance, law enforcement augmentation) are needed is an important part of a facility response. Communication drills and coordination mechanisms will improve interaction between health care and public safety agencies during an event. Defining how these agencies coordinate and what roles and responsibilities each has before an event is

TABLE 1

Sample Mobilization Checklist Using CO-S-TR Framework

| Category/Action | Yes (time) | No | Notes |
|---|------------|----|-------|
| Command | | | |
| Incident commander appointed? | | | |
| Functional positions assigned as needed? | | | |
| Command center opened? | | | |
| Initial notifications made and pages sent? | | | |
| Control | | | |
| Facility and staff safety assured? | | | |
| Situational assessment made? | | | |
| Departmental implementation of initial response actions effective? | | | |
| Incident action planning for next operational period started? | | | |
| Communication | | | |
| Appropriate paging groups and callbacks activated? | | | |
| Public information officer appointed? | | | |
| General employee information release (paging, hotline, other) | | | |
| Initial media messages crafted and briefing scheduled? (spokesperson/s identified?) | | | |
| External partners notified of event and situation? | | | |
| "Media monitor" appointed? | | | |
| Coordination | | | |
| Internal departmental needs assessed and reports to command center made? | | | |
| Partner hospitals notified? | | | |
| External agency (EMS, emergency management, public health) liaison established? | | | |
| Staff | | | |
| Staff staging (labor pool) established? | | | |
| Additional staff capacity needed? | | | |
| Internal or external source or strategy identified? | | | |
| Staff capabilities needed (burn, pediatrics, etc)? | | | |
| Internal or external source or strategy identified? | | | |
| Staff check-in required? | | | |
| Staff orientation, mentoring, credentialing required for external staff? | | | |
| Stuff | | | |
| Resource report from pharmacy, central supply, lab inpatient, OR, ED requested and received? | | | |
| Anticipated shortfalls based on event? | | | |
| Vendor(s) identified? (If not, is resource request to regional or state support needed?) | | | |
| Space | | | |
| Additional triage areas needed? | | | |
| Additional ED space needed? (Or refer patients?) | | | |
| Additional critical care space needed? | | | |
| Additional medical or surge space needed? | | | |
| Patient holding area needed? | | | |
| Separate family and media areas designated? | | | |
| Space inadequate? Requires transfers or alternate care site—liaison with partner agencies and hospitals | | | |
| Special | | | |
| Contamination risk to facility? | | | |
| Security risk to facility? | | | |
| Specific communication or media needs? | | | |
| Communications or infrastructure loss? | | | |
| Highly transmissible disease? | | | |
| Specific population or cultural needs? | | | |
| Injury or illness generates special resource demand? | | | |
| Technical expert(s) needed? | | | |
| Tracking | | | |
| Tagging or tracking of all incident patients? | | | |
| Designated person to coordinate patient lists? | | | |
| Triage | | | |
| Adequate personnel and supplies in triage locations? | | | |
| Secondary triage (to OR, CT) established? | | | |
| Are systematic changes to the standard of care needed to prevent degradation of all services? | | | |
| Treatment | | | |
| Transfers necessary? (If yes, transport arranged?) | | | |

(Continued)

TABLE 1

Sample Mobilization Checklist Using CO-S-TR Framework

| Category/Action | Yes (time) | No | Notes |
|---|------------|----|-------|
| Able to provide definitive care or damage control interventions only at this time (involves decisions among ED, surgery, radiology, critical care)? | | | |
| Are systematic changes to patient care/staffing required to meet demand? (If so, change documentation, staffing, service lines to reflect best possible care) | | | |
| Transportation | | | |
| Staging and receiving area(s) needed? | | | |
| Adequate external capacity or capability? | | | |
| Adequate internal capacity (patient movement)? | | | |
| Medical records and belongings accounted for on external transfers? | | | |
| Traffic controls or traffic plans needed? | | | |

EMS, emergency medical services; OR, operating room; ED, emergency department; CT, computed tomography.

important, so that hospital personnel are not, for example, relying on fire department decontamination resources that will not be available to them during an incident.

Public Health and Health Care Partners

Ideally, each health care facility should have mutual aid agreements with nearby inpatient and outpatient facilities allowing staff and resource sharing and specifying coordination mechanisms. Health care facilities must also coordinate with the broader health and medical community, including public health and emergency medical services (EMS) systems during a major event. Nonhospital health care entities—such as clinics, nursing facilities, home health services, and hospices—will play an important role in providing patient care services during a major disaster. The concept of operations for coordination of multiple agencies and facilities^{10–12} should be planned and exercised in advance of an event. In some cases, this coordination will occur at the community emergency operations center level; in multijurisdictional events a separate multiagency coordination center may be required.

S4—STAFF, STUFF, SPACE, AND SPECIAL (LOGISTICS)

Staff

Early mobilization of appropriately trained staff to fulfill needs imposed by disasters is critical to effective response. A staff staging area or labor pool helps to centralize and organize staff deployment. The plan must be able to mobilize both the appropriate number and types of staff (eg, medical/surgical nurses vs burn nurses). A system must be in place to manage staff (both volunteer and regular) to efficiently use them and avoid diverting resources to such activities as ad hoc verification of credentials. Local agreements to share staff may prove invaluable because staff may be shared between facilities, be drawn from Medical Reserve Corps or other programs, and potentially drawn from federal sources such as the National Disaster Medical System.^{13–17} Prior agreements that detail shared staff compensation, liability, and workers’ compensation are invaluable in improving staff sharing. Staff

relief efforts need to be reassessed continuously to adjust the response to the event as it evolves over time.

Fortunately, few hospitals experience staffing shortages during noncatastrophic disasters,¹⁸ although in certain circumstances (eg, smaller facility, agent that poses a threat to responders) staff may be inadequate to meet the needs of the event. In that case, work practices must be modified (medical records reduction, closing certain departments to support others, relaxation of regulatory requirements such as nurse–patient ratios, etc) to allow existing staff to expand capacity for patient care activities.¹⁹ Certain statutes and regulations may require modification in these instances and will require assistance from government and licensing entities.

Assessment of staff needs for future operational periods is important so that adequate numbers of staff are in the right place at the right time. Hospitals may find daily staffing grids useful for forecasting needs for subsequent time periods with modifications if the patient acuity on the unit does not represent usual practice. (For example, are ventilated patients now receiving care in postanesthesia areas or on monitored floor areas if appropriate?) These staffing grids can be coupled with surge capacity grids listing bed and floor space so that staff or space shortages can be anticipated as soon as possible and mitigated, or policies approved to adjust the standard of care to a level appropriate for the resource constrained environment.

Stuff

The IC may need to rapidly direct movement of specific resources to a number of different areas:

- Usual supplies in larger quantities than usual (eg, chest tube trays, opioid analgesia for emergency departments)
- New supplies needed to set up triage or treatment areas (these should be set aside or catalogued ahead of time so that they can be assembled quickly and moved to the designated location)
- If usual supplies are exhausted (eg, atropine, external fixators), an appeal may be made to mutual aid hospitals, usual suppliers, or other predetermined sources of medi-

cal supplies. Many hospitals rely on the same suppliers, complicating resource procurement in a major disaster. If supplies are not available through existing channels, then a mechanism must be in place for the facility to request them from the state or federal levels. This usually occurs via the local emergency management agency for the community in which the hospital resides. These processes should be clearly understood in advance of an incident. Partnerships with private entities that may provide supplies, communications, and logistical support also can be critical during a disaster, but must be negotiated in advance of an event to be most effective.

Anticipation of resource shortages is important. Regular communication with the pharmacy, emergency department, intensive care unit, and support services (eg, laboratory, radiology, respiratory care, food services), and monitoring of the types of injuries and illnesses helps to anticipate supply replacement needs in sufficient time to mitigate most shortages. A process of vendor managed inventory by which private partners manage and store supplies for disasters has been useful on the federal level as part of the Strategic National Stockpile. Local implementation of similar strategies can further improve the flow of supplies and equipment, lessening the burden on individual facilities or public partners to buy and store large caches of pharmaceuticals or supplies.²⁰

Space

Adequate physical space and appropriate structures are often underestimated needs. A comprehensive assessment of space and structural options is necessary before an event to rapidly reconfigure or establish space to accommodate a surge of patients and associated support needs during a disaster. Triage, treatment (including critical care), transportation staging, and discharge holding areas may need to be initiated rapidly (eg, lobby areas, gymnasium, or conference room areas).²¹ Additional considerations include requirements for staff, family, and media support areas. Alternate care sites may be located within facilities (eg, cots in designated areas) or external to facilities (eg, tenting, adjacent building, community-identified locations).^{22–25}

Special

Certain situations require specialized responses. For example, patients contaminated by radiological materials from a radiological dispersion device or “dirty bomb” may have combined injuries that require radiological contamination assessment and management as well as decontamination in addition to usual medical care.²⁶ Other specialized situations may include highly infectious patients (eg, those with severe acute respiratory syndrome or viral hemorrhagic fevers),²⁷ burn patients, or pediatric patients. These situations may require assign-

ment of staff with additional training and usually require specialized resources (eg, radiological survey meters and personal protective equipment).^{28,29} The IC should be familiar with the supplies and technical expertise available at the facility and within the community and region.

Early assessment regarding what is “special” about any given event may lead to additional objectives or actions. For example, a school bus accident that generates a few patients easily managed by the emergency department may result in a deluge of telephone calls and family members on the facility. If this is unanticipated, and resources such as a telephone bank and family support area are not initiated, then a significant disruption of facility operations and unnecessary emotional distress for the victims’ family and friends may occur.

T4—TRACKING, TRIAGE, TREATMENT, AND TRANSPORTATION (OPERATIONS)

Tracking

A system should be in place at the facility to track patients from the time of arrival at the facility through discharge or transfer. This may or may not be the same as that used during daily operations. The decision to activate a disaster tracking system must be made early, and personnel should be assigned as soon as possible to prepare a master list of patients and record their dispositions.

Triage

Although they have limitations, triage tags may be used and an abbreviated assessment performed to rapidly categorize patients.³⁰ An appropriate number of experienced^{31,32} triage officers should be appointed and equipped with vests and other required equipment. In large incidents, plans should be made to triage patients in nontraditional locations (hospital lobbies, outdoors, other locations) and to triage low-acuity patients to other waiting areas in the facility or to off-site outpatient clinics (per agreements with these locations). A process for secondary triage and a secondary triage officer (or other title assuming this function) should be activated and implemented within the emergency department to decide who will have priority for the operating room, who will go to computed tomography, who will receive the last intensive care bed, and so forth.

In the face of patient volumes that exceed available resources, the focus of triage shifts from a goal of optimizing care for an individual patient to optimizing care for a population of patients (“doing the greatest good for the greatest number”). Decision making based on best outcomes may present practitioners with ethical dilemmas such as deciding who receives critical resources in short supply. Preliminary

The CO-S-TR tool ... provides the framework to make informed and consistent decisions during chaotic circumstances

guidance is available for these situations but requires facility-based planning before an event to be effective.¹⁸

Treatment

Medical treatment of injured and ill people should focus on stabilizing measures. Diagnostic studies and definitive care should be limited in the initial aftermath of a disaster (eg, dress wounds but defer suturing, and splint clinical fractures rather than performing radiographs). Decisions need to be made at the command level about how many patients can be definitively managed at the facility and which patients will require transfer to other facilities, if available. The degree of medical treatment in some cases may be limited by the resources available. The IC may have to be creative to stretch the capacity and capability of clinical providers or resources to ensure the best care possible given the situation.^{18,20,33} Concurrent attempts to obtain needed staff and resources or accomplish transfers is critical to ensure a return to baseline operations as rapidly as possible.

Transportation

Internal transportation plans facilitate the rapid movement of patients from initial triage and treatment areas to inpatient wards or operating rooms. External transportation plans focus on rapid and safe transfer and evacuation of patients to other facilities or an evacuation point (eg, an airport). Anticipating transportation needs, the IC must consider the local and regional availability of ground and rotor-wing EMS units. These units may be unavailable in significant numbers during a disaster because of system demands or limited access (eg, due to flooding, debris, or other impediments).

If multiple ground or air ambulances are used, the establishment of safe loading zones and local air traffic control, and the assignment of a staging officer to these locations, helps ensure safety and orderly patient flow. Communications and coordination with outside agencies is required because EMS agencies may have to prioritize their missions.

Mutual aid agreements with local EMS agencies (including private organizations) and augmentation plans should be in place before an event. Understanding timelines for obtaining external assistance is particularly important for smaller, more rural facilities. In addition, usual referral hospitals may be overwhelmed by the same event and thus less frequently used partners may be needed.

Conclusions

Disaster management is challenging even for experienced providers. With rapid application of the CO-S-TR (or "coaster") model, health care facility incident commanders or section chiefs can quickly define and assess critical elements of institutional surge capacity, and determine initial priorities and resource requirements. The CO-S-TR tool, as an adjunct to the institutional incident management system, provides a means to proactively determine what resources are needed and how to deploy them to minimize omissions and optimize

outcomes. Validation of the model is necessary to confirm a benefit to incident management practices and early creation of surge capacity at health care facilities.

About the Authors

Dr Hick is Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine, University of Minnesota; Dr Koenig is Director of Public Health Preparedness, University of California, Irvine, School of Medicine; Ms Barbisch is Director, Institute for Global and Regional Readiness; Dr Bey is Director of International Emergency Medicine, University of California, Irvine, School of Medicine.

Address correspondence to John L. Hick, MD, Emergency Medicine MC 825, Hennepin County Medical Center, 701 Park Ave S, Minneapolis, MN 55415 (e-mail: john.hick@hcmcd.org).

Received for publication June 20, 2007; accepted March 25, 2008.

Authors' Disclosures

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

ISSN: 1935-7893 © 2008 by the American Medical Association and Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

DOI: 10.1097/DMP.0b013e31817fffe8

REFERENCES

1. Kaji A, Koenig KL, Bey T. Surge capacity for healthcare systems: a conceptual framework. *Acad Emerg Med.* 13:1157–1159.
2. Barbisch D, Koenig KL. Understanding surge capacity: essential elements. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2006;13:1098–1102.
3. Emergency Management Principles and Practices for Healthcare Systems. The Institute for Crisis, Disaster, and Risk Management (ICDRM) at the George Washington University (GWU). Department of Veterans Affairs Web site. 2006. <http://www1.va.gov/emshg>. Accessed April 20, 2007.
4. Barbera J, Macintyre A. *Medical and Health Incident Management System: A Comprehensive Functional System Description for Mass Casualty Medical and Health Incident Management*. Washington, DC: George Washington University Institute for Crisis, Disaster, and Risk Management; 2002.
5. Hospital Incident Command System. HICS IV August 2006. California Emergency Medical Services Authority Web site. <http://www.emsa.ca.gov/HICS/default.asp>. Accessed April 20, 2007.
6. National Incident Management System. Federal Emergency Management Agency-Department of Homeland Security Web site. <http://www.fema.gov/emergency/nims/index.shtm>. Accessed April 20, 2007.
7. Plourde KL, Moats J. The Incident Command System: A Process to Move our Response Stance From Reactive to Proactive. US Coast Guard Proceedings Web site. 2006; 63:11–14. www.uscg.mil/proceedings. Accessed April 20, 2007.
8. Bey T, Moecke Hp. Electrical blackouts in hospitals and the need for reassessment of the electrical infrastructure and more powerful standby generation. [abstract] *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2007;22:S98.
9. Glik DC. Risk communication for public health emergencies. *Ann Rev Public Health.* 2007;28:33–54.
10. National Incident Management System Independent Study 701—Multi-Agency Coordination System (MACS) Course. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Emergency Management Institute 2008. Emmitsburg, MD. <http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/IS/is701.asp>. Accessed July 31, 2008.
11. Barbera J, McIntyre A. Medical Surge Capacity and Capability: A Management System for Integrating Medical and Health Resources During Large-Scale Emergencies. CNA Corporation Web site. 2004. http://www.cna.org/documents/mscc_aug2004.pdf. Accessed May 22, 2006.
12. *Healthcare at the Crossroads: Strategies for Creating and Sustaining Com-*

- munity-wide Emergency Preparedness Systems. Oak Terrace, IL: Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations; 2004.
13. Cone DC, Weir SD, Bogucki S. Convergent volunteerism. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2003;41:457–462.
 14. *Comprehensive Accreditation Manual for Hospitals. Medical Staff Section MS.5.14.4.1. Disaster Privileging Standard.* Oakbrook Terrace, IL: Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations; 2003.
 15. Department of Health and Human Services. Medical Reserve Corps Web site. www.medicalreservecorps.gov. Accessed January 16, 2004.
 16. National Disaster Medical System. Department of Health and Human Services Web site. <http://ndms.dhhs.gov>. Accessed May 22, 2006.
 17. Schultz CH, Stratton SJ. Improving hospital surge capacity: a new concept for emergency credentialing of volunteers. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2007;49:602–609.
 18. Auf Der Heide E. The importance of evidence-based disaster planning. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2006;47:34–49.
 19. Phillips SJ, Knebel A, eds. *Providing Mass Medical Care with Scarce Resources: A Community Planning Guide*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2006.
 20. Emergency Preparedness and Response—Strategic National Stockpile—April 14, 2005. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Web site. <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/stockpile>. Accessed May 30, 2007.
 21. Rubinson L, Nuzzo JB, Talmor DS, et al. Augmentation of hospital critical care capacity after bioterrorist attacks or epidemics: recommendations of the Working Group on Emergency Mass Critical Care. *Crit Care Med.* 2005;33:2393–2403.
 22. Hick JL, Hanfling D, Burstein JL, et al. Healthcare facility and community strategies for patient care surge capacity. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2004;44:253–261.
 23. Church J. Modular Emergency Medical System—Expanding Local Healthcare Structure in a Mass Casualty Terrorism Event. *Department of Defense Web site.* 2002. <http://www.nnemrms.org/documents>. Accessed May 22, 2006.
 24. Skidmore S, Wall W, Church J. Modular Emergency Medical System Concept of Operation for the Acute Care Center: Mass Casualty Strategy for a Biological Terror Incident. Department of Defense Web site. 2003. <http://www.nnemrms.org/documents>. Accessed May 22, 2006.
 25. Surge Hospitals: Providing Safe Care in Emergencies. Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Facilities Web site. 2006. <http://www.jcrinc.com/generic.asp?durki=11627&site=11&return=http://www.ahrq.gov/research/altstand/405>. Accessed May 22, 2006.
 26. Koenig KL, Goans RE, Hatchett RJ, et al. Medical treatment of radiological casualties: current concepts. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2005;45:643–652.
 27. Cone DC, Koenig KL. Mass casualty triage in the chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear environment. *Eur J Emerg Med.* 2005;12:287–302.
 28. Koenig KL, Boatright CJ, Hancock JA, et al. Health care facilities' "war on terrorism": a deliberate process for recommending personal protective equipment. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2007;25:185–195.
 29. Hick JL, Thorne CT. Personal protective equipment. In: Ciotton G, ed. *Disaster Medicine*. St Louis: Mosby; 2005.
 30. Benson M, Koenig KL, Schultz CH. Disaster triage: START, then SAVE—a new method of dynamic triage for victims of a catastrophic earthquake. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 1996;11:117–124.
 31. Tanabe P, Travers D, Gilboy N, et al. Refining emergency severity index triage criteria. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2005;12:497–501.
 32. Tanabe P, Gimbel R, Yarnold PR, et al. Reliability and validity of scores on the Emergency Severity Index version 3. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2004;11:59–65.
 33. Dacey MJ. Tragedy and response—the Rhode Island nightclub disaster. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;349: 1990–1991.